

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 21-40 are pending. By this Amendment, claims 21, 27, 30, and 37 are amended. Support for the claims can be found throughout the specification, including the original claims, and the drawings. Reconsideration in view of the above amendments and following remarks is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is thanked for the courtesies extended to Applicants' representative at the July 28, 2005 personal interview. The points discussed are incorporated herein.

The Office Action rejected claims 21, 23-26, 30, 33-37, and 39-40 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Wendelrup, U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0023099, and rejected claim 27 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Wendelrup in view of Kim, U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0110545. The Office Action further rejected claims 22, 31, and 38 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Wendelrup in view of Fell et al. (hereinafter "Fell"), U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0092251, and rejected claims 28-29 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Wendelrup and Kim, in view of Fell. These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Independent claim 1 has been amended to recite that the data for identifying the selected compressed digital data file and the selected compressed digital data file are separately transmittable. Independent claim 27 has been amended to recite that the recognition data and the corresponding compressed digital data are capable of being separately transmitted/received. Independent claim 30 has been amended to recite that the

data for identifying and the selected compressed data file are separately transmittable. Independent claim 37 has been amended to recite that the recognition data and the corresponding compressed data file are capable of being separately transmitted/received. As tentatively agreed at the personal interview, the applied combination of references fails to disclose or suggest such features, or the respective claimed combinations of independent claims 21, 27, 30, and 37.

Accordingly, the rejections of independent claims 21, 27, 30, and 37 should be withdrawn. Dependent claims 22-26, 28-29, 31-36, and 38-40 are allowable over the respective applied combinations for the reasons set forth above with respect to independent claims 21, 27, 30, and 37, from which they respectively depend, as well as for their added features.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that any additional changes would place the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney, **Carol L. Druzbeck**, at the telephone number listed below.


To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this,

Serial No. 09/910,709
Reply to Office Action dated May 5, 2005

Docket No. P-0236

concurrent and future replies, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 16-0607
and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP



Carol L. Druzbeck
Registration No. 40,287

P.O. Box 221200
Chantilly, Virginia 20153-1200
(703) 766-3701 DK/CLD:cre
Date: August 5, 2005

Please direct all correspondence to Customer Number 34610